Moosh,

Starknet -Re{ignite} Hackathon Submission

26 May 2025



About Us

We are a Team Building Web3 Cloud



What's the Big Idea?

'Decentralized +









Cloud Marketplace' moosh.gg

Litepaper <<u>www.moosh.qq</u>>

Decentralized Cloud Marketplace

Moosh is a decentralized peer-to-peer (P2P) social network for joining trustless & trust-dependent systems and sharing digital resources.



See later section Section 1.2.4 about Puly Programmabile Previousing (PTF) IFF combined with the machinghine is used to be in our only personal hardware. It wile applied cloud perceidence and reliabilished Weld data contense which have filter optic communities for data that manumistants on equilying and availability interessored physical and visital applied instantatance. It is thus this effect accelerate the visibility of Weld to accere global digital exceptions and cloues the gput percent availability of cloues anyong instantanta.

Iron served instations with the cloud industry of prevent and major issues with the voy or Wild industry industry minimum or while or the first or the cloud is descentiaand, it as any admitter minimum or the second se

.

Both clients and providers are participants in the The edges of the graph are the trust relationship relationships.

Clustes are the same of the attentix skin pays activation through a chaldway pays where they conor under terms defined in a sumrar contrast. Note we choose a chaldway pays however, it gives networking and listed strongs for the cluste at 10 the doublay approximation result in such data evold include on addraw book, symmer configsterior and the strong of the strong of the the Providence are errors are estima which instead as a merican disclosure and clustering pays income the providence are errors and clustering pays income the providence are errors and clustering pays income the providence are errors and clustering pays income the boost data for wireless and clustering and pays and the strong pays and the strong pays in a strong pays a merican application which we including simpless in pays and the strong merican strong pays in pays

download it is available orders. From the line of it issue a providen sequent. Cleants will be able to purchase a provider's ments, which will give them access to a virtual with this interaction they can then share disp reputation with the death's needl poers. This is

IN DESIGN RECEIPTORY 13 14

moosh.gg
Litepaper < www.moosh.gg>





See later section Section 3.2.4 about Fully Programmable Provisioning (FPP). FPP combined with the marketplace is used to tie in not only personal hardware, but also public cloud providers and established Web2 data centers which have fiber optic connectivity for fast data transmission to quickly and seamlessly interconnect physical and virtual digital infrastructure. In turn this effort accelerates the viability of Web3 to serve global digital ecosystems and closes the gap between on-chain and off-chain compute limitations.

Given several limitations with the cloud industry at present and major issues with the way our Web3 industry handles our infrastructure, we believe the future of the cloud is decentralized. In any industry mistakes can happen, which is why it can be a good mitigation to spread certain infrastructure across multiple providers. In a decentralized cloud marketplace, usecases such as redundancy can be baked in and frictionless, leading to a more robust digital infrastructure for everyone.

2.3. Building Moosh

The final version of Moosh has three layers:

- 1. P2P marketplace for digital resources
- 2. Social network (graph with trust scoring) + Mesh Overlay
- 3. A novel Verifiable Computation solution (VCE)



Figure 3: The final version of Moosh Net has three layered components. 1. A client and provider facing P2P resource sharing marketplace. 2. Two open overlays: a trust-score laced social network and a mesh overlay network. 3. An engine chosen to best address the verifiable general computation problem. An important point here is that layer 1 (market by layer 2 (open overlays). The third layer comimproving both layer 1 and 2 tremendously.

The marketplace fosters growth of decentralize (2) and (3) from Figure 3 combine to support the any on-chain to off-chain interface generically nance to solve for human-centralization issues

In the marketplace, 'digital resources' range fr compute (CPU, GPU), emergent services such a full VMs. For example, the marketplace may lat running on weaker networked VCE machines i

2.3.1. Resource Sharing Marketplace

Moosh will implement a simple resource sharing

- 1. Clients: Provision network resources and a
- 2. Providers: Lease out resources in exchange

Both clients and providers are participants in th The edges of the graph are the trust relationshi relationships.

Clients are the users of the network who pay network through a desktop app where they ca one under terms defined in a smart contract.

Note we choose a desktop app because it gives networking and local storage for the client to In the desktop app each user runs the node date could include an address-book, system configu

Providers are users or entities which intend to p network, they use the desktop app to view the

Providers will create sell orders for their physic a server application which we initially intend of Note that the virtual machine manager is separ

If a client is directly aware of a provider (they' download it's available orders. From the list of l issue a provision request.

Clients will be able to purchase a provider's ments, which will give them access to a virtual with this interaction they can then share dispureputation with the client's social peers. This is



Our Submission

MooshID: Efficient Post-Quantum On-Chain Identity System

Overview of Submission - (1/2)

We are entering for the 'Best use of Starknet' track of the 2025 Re{ignite} hackathon.

We've made a breakthrough, introducing a new primitive in quantum resistant signature verification using the Falcon cryptography scheme.

- Future downstream contracts that build on our submission by anyone don't need to worry about storage, they just use a small 252 bit hash.
- Call data is 28x smaller for small Falcon 512 key size, and 56x smaller for big Falcon 1024 key size.

Our effort aligns with Bitcoin also wanting to use PQC Falcon in BIP360 ...

Quantum proof signatures and public keys are orders of magnitude larger than predecessors, directly increasing the amount of data stored per transaction on a blockchain and bloating Smart Contract (SC) storage. We have made a **significant reduction** in size of a Quantum Resistant pubkey [We reduce it from 897 or 1793 <u>bytes</u> down to 252 <u>bits</u>]. We store it once on chain (this costs gas but this addresses spam protection), then once stored you can verify gas-free in the future (computation is done on RPC node instead of via gas payments). This reduces state bloat, increases block throughput and lowers SC storage gas cost!

We have applied the solution to the use case of an on-chain marketplace, where a *client* pays a *provider* for services/resources.

Overview: 3 Steps to use the Solution - (2/2)

Contract 1: Key Registry

First actor deploys key registry contract that lets other people store their key material in a storage map. Maps keys to key-material on chain. Contract 2: → Address-Based -----Verifier

> Read contract: Lets anyone verify a signature using the hash of anyone's pubkeys that originally signed it.

Contract 3: Marketplace Escrow Contract

Use case - Escrow contract for two actors. A *client* pays for services from an ID'd *provider*. A dispute mechanism is built in for intermediaries to resolve conflicts on chain.





↔ c ♠ o :		
†	MARKETPLACE	
θ		
⊕		
Ð		
∖		

Contract 1: Key Registry (1/1)

To bootstrap the system, an initial person deploys this smart contract named 'key registry.' This contract lets other people store their key material in a storage map. It maps key-hash to key-material on chain. In our use-case *providers* of services are these *people*.

- We use Falcon for Post Quantum Crypto signatures
- Poseidon felt252 for hashing Falcon pubkeys

The read functions are:

get_key_owner() - Takes a hash and returns the wallet that registered it to the contract

get_public_key() - Get's a pubkey from submitted hash.

The key-registry owner is what is important for scaling past the storage capacity of a single Key Registry smart contract.

*'Key-material' in our case is a Falcon public key

Contract 2: Address-Based Verifier (1/2)

This is a read contract that lets anyone verify a signature using the hash of the pubkey that originally signed it.

- This is experimental as we use a new Starkware exploration team library called <u>S2morrow</u> (1 month old)
- We borrow their verify-uncompressed function

One public key signs any kind of message, with no length limit on input, the output is a felt 252 size.



Contract 2: Address-Based Verifier (2/2)

- Take three inputs: key_hash, signature, message
- It queries the Key Registry to get the Falcon public key from the key_hash
- Then it verifies the signature for the message for that public key
- Contract returns True or False i.e. determines Valid or Invalid signature

In our demo use case the marketplace *provider* has to sign a predetermined message that is the listingID of their services listed on the marketplace.



Contract 3: Marketplace Escrow (1/2)

This marketplace contract is dependent on both the Key Registry SC, and the Address-based Verify SC.

It uses the Key Registry to resolve the pubkey from a pubkey hash for free (no gas).

The good thing is that any Starknet app can use this, the Key Registry and Verify SC's are generic. Only the Escrow SC is use-specific.

Ideally we would have a single marketplace-deployed escrow SC to handle multiple agreements instead of currently making the client deploy the Escrow SC for one agreement. However, the *provider* can verify the Starknet class hash of the escrow SC to check the *client* didn't deploy a malicious SC.

Note: Only the provider needs to be ID'd on MooshID!



Contract 3: Marketplace Escrow (2/2)

Step 1: A *provider* creates listing of their services in a Web3 marketplace app (this is outside of the scope for this hackathon). The listing would have an associated on-chain listingID attested by a blockchain node network.

Step 2: A *Client* (1) deploys escrow contract, (2) supplies the key-hash of the *provider* they want to make an agreement with, and (3) deposits funds into the contract

Step 3: If everything goes well, at the end of the agreement-period the *provider* can execute a claim function in the escrow contract and extract the funds. Note, the claim function only works if the agreement-period is over.

- A *provider* has a Falcon pubkey and privkey. The pubkey is hashed in the Key Registry contract, the privkey only they know.
- To claim the funds the *provider* signs the listingID with their falcon private key on their local machine and gives it to the escrow contract. In turn the escrow contract interacts with the Address-verifier to check if the signature is valid or not.
- If signature is valid, funds are released, if-not then no funds are released.

Optional: Step 3.5: If there's a dispute over the services provided before the agreement's tenure is completed (e.g. services are not up to the desired standards), the provider gets partial payment up to the time served, the remainder is returned to the client. Note only the client is able to initiate the dispute.

LINKS & RESOURCES

Github: <u>https://github.com/moosh-network/starknet-reignite</u>

Smart Contract Classes deployed on mainnet:

Key Registry:

0x022a351ab5f1ac13352a3792be246d8c6513d9029f3674608ac4cd7944aa702e

- Address-based-verifier:

0x0396507525f71d979d306df5b72c568dfcca173158086d73806e496b054670a3

- Escrow:

0x0028172888cc58dece1ccaaadcd0b8076eb85f0284f95aecd28027042b0f64a9

Project Extensions

What to do next?

- From client side we can call the contract recursively to do message chain verification. To handle complex messaging for advanced escrow disputes requiring a history of agreement terms or other information
- We would want to allow agreements to be extendable. Modification of terms.
- The initial single Key-registry contract will deploy new Key Registry whenever needed, i.e. if one SC is full (max is 100 keys today: 100 keys in 3000 Starknet SC storage slots) the Key Registry Factory can spawn further contracts once the first is full.
- In today's example it is important to trust who deploys the escrow contract class. Need to remove this trust.
 - Root of trust improvements. In future the Key Registry contract owner can be extended be a single router wallet that will deploy all the keys based on how much capacity is needed. I.e. as one SC runs out of space, another is created. The Router maps hash to the registry and so on. This is similar to how how uniswap charges for creating new liquidity pools.
 - Factory & Router Cairo contracts for Key Registry to scale over 100 falcon keys per SC
- Extend solution to use a Falcon certificate instead of just a normal key, so that a cert can also have a shortened address on Starknet.
 - Further extend to open an on-chain Falcon certificate in a CLI or browser UI for an Web3 app.
- Extend logic to verify two parties consent to a single agreement.
 - Would go over 3 million steps if we have to verify two addresses using current methodology requires optimization.
- Explore extending this idea for a Peer-to-Peer marketplace for Virtual Machines.